Internal Academic Review 2006-2007 Department of History Internal Academic Review Committee Report to Senate

The IARC fully supports the Departmentiaexplores new ways to address the recommendations outlined the Review Team Report.

Outcomes of the Internal Academic Review of the Department of History

Joint response submitted by the Dean of the Faculty of Arts and Science and the Head of the Department of History

The Dean of the Faculty of Arts and Scienace! the Chair of the Deartment of History welcome the very positive assessments optablement of History's work by the IARC, and are happy to provide the following in telear to specific points raised by the IARC.

Strategic Hiring Plan

We note that the department has already lobesed a long-term stregic hiring plan in line with the IAR Team's recommendation 'that the number of full-time tenure-track or tenured faculty members within the Deparent of History be increased...'. The Department has established priiosis for three of the five pitisons needed to meet this recommendation: Modern Canadian History, and Modern German History. The remaining two have been left unspe

IAR Teamreport and has thus been introduced valve late stage in the IAR process. The Team report simply noted in the boody the text the 'divergence' of the department's doctoral program in this rest from other North American universities and stated that 'The faculty members providestrong rationale for this change [...], although some doctoral students examed concern about the possible ception sour italics] of this new approachly response, the Departmentints to the report of the external OCGS consultants (Weaver/Maspfall 2007) on our Graduate programme. This presents a resounding endorsemethe way we do things: "... although there may have been a perception inside Queen's and in some other universities elimination of a written comprehensive examination was a dramatic shift, the changes in degree requirements have not in the slightest promised the objectives of this PhD programme which are to stimulate original earch and thoughtromote breadth, and instil professional development. The replacentrof a written comprehensive field exam by the requirement to prepare and orally defeyllabi in the major and minor fields is a fine technique for self-directed learning." The faculty and the Departent notes that the IAR self-study and the Departmental **G6** submission both emphasize that the Departmentiones have field requirements extension it does not have comprehensive examinations and that the goalloreadth is central to the design of the PhD programme. We would add that recentagement figures for History and uates in academic positions suggest the change has had no negative inquatteir marketability – quite the contrary and we have been given absolutely no reasonalieve that it has lessened their ability to 'teach broadly in the curriculum.' Althe same time, research shows that the Department's alternative model to comprehense xaminations is, in fact, not quite as unusual among North American Universities as the wording of the Report may suggest.

Follow-up on these recommendations and issues will take place during the annual academic planning and budget process betweenDean of the Faculty of Arts and Science and the Vice-Principal (Academic).

Attachment:

Review Team Report

Internal Academic Review (IAR) Team Report For Department of History

February 2007

Team Membership

Mr. Randy Booth, School of Rehabilitation Therapy

Ms. Jennifer Foote, Biology Graduate Student

Dr. John Freeman, Faculty of Education

Dr. Gerhard Pratt, Geological Sciences & Geological Engineering

Dr. Joan Stevenson, School of Kinsiology and Health Studies (Chair)

Dr. Mark Walters, Faculty of Law

Table of Contents

Introduction to Report	2
Faculty, Research and Scholarship	
Undergraduate Program	3
Graduate Program	
Resources	
Services and Administration	
Equity	
Self Évaluation and Future Prospects	
Recommendations	

Introduction to Report

During the period of the review process for History, the Internal Academic Review (IAR) team met on five occasions before andrafte External Academic Review period and attended the majority of the sessionith whe external reviewers on Novembeth 2000 and 10th, 2006. In addition, the IAR sought additional ormation from the Department and asked specific additional questions of the protection that the History Self Study Reports (Vol., II and III) and additional formation gathered during the process, the following prert identifies issues and sections relevant to the Department and a series excommxow - apartment Facult Research Tc -0 Scholarship >> BDC BT /TTC

D976 T0nt andDv()Tcs.003j atus asean excellent3researchTdeT Td

scholarly development, sustain its senionmbers in their researched mentorship of graduate students, and expand its number of and tenure-track faculty positions.

Although the Department has made some changeently that will assist in meeting these challenges – for instance the move 262 teaching load, we suggest other initiatives to help the devenment of the younger faculty and decrease the demands on senior faculty. To mentor thyounger faculty, the Department should consider increasing the number of departmental events (aspers, workshops, visitors, etc.) and interdisciplinary endeavours (e.g., participa in 'humanities' centres) that develop interaction and collaboration within the Department and the larger University community. Furthermore, Departmental seams can help unite a department. For example, a required seminar for graduatedents (and faculty) could create: better learning across areas of expertise; a forum faduate students and faculty to introduce their topics; and a chance to host speakers from other departments.

One possible change would reduce thekwaad on all faculty members, most particularly the senior members. In terofishe appointments process, the Department presently considers applications for facultysitions through a departmental committee-of-the-whole. This is a cumbersome had of making decisions, and the Department may wish to consider moving to an appointments committee model. Under such a model, all members of the Department would be therdi to attend candidate job talks, consult candidate application fileand make written submissions to the appointments committee, but candidate interviews and the subsequiesatussions and decisions about hiring would be conducted by the appointments committee in private.

Overall, though, continued growth and dependent of the Department rests on having more tenure and tenure-track positions. Department may wish to consider, for example, whether it should continue to exist or whether is should now consolidate particular areas as areas of exerch strength. It may also decide to move to a theme-based approach as areas of strength as suggest the external reviewers. These decisions would be best taken in light of the Department's ongoing examination of its research priorities.

Undergraduate Program

In terms of student satisfacen, the undergraduate Histostyudents rank their academic experience as very high. In teaching evaluations and experience as very high. In teaching evaluations and experience as very high.

were 'hounded'. It was the only way stunder could get what thy wanted; however, other students resented their proach and found it inequitable. Course offerings were another concern. The students felt that there too much Canadian history, with not enough selection for other areas or cultures. They also indicated that numerous courses are listed in the calendary never taught. The external reviewers recommended that the Department consider a "breadth require theory that it has a more global coverage."

The course numbering system used in orbitistwas confusing for students, external reviewers, and members of the current numbers yetem may also prove difficult for others outside Queen's University to interpret, for exple, graduate chairs in other universities. The faculty argued that this numbering system not be changed so that the first number of the course code represents the usual invertible the course is taken because of inadequacies in the University's computarist. To move to revised numbering system, one possibility would be to change letters representing story in advance of

continuity and more enthusiasm to the rankel thus help in inititing changes to the undergraduate offerings.

Equity

History has been improving in its proportion individuals associated with minority groups. The Department is to be complimed for its progress, and we encourage continued striving toward equity the next cycle as well.

Self Evaluation and Future Prospects

The History Department appears to be a strong department at Queen's. Their teaching is normally above the Queen's average and these earch has maintained its strength, despite the rapid faculty renewal that has taken place. Overall, the department appears to have found ways to maintain their liberitanism approach and reach consensus on decisions so that they progress with ting and innovative change to their programs. Improvements are still possible in the next cyditewould appear that the challenges to research and scholarship, the undergradurategraduate programs, and resources can be addressed through the joint efforts of the toliv Department, the aculty of Arts and Science, and the University.

Recommendations

We conclude with two recommendations representing what we feel are required. The previous text also presenting gestions representing possible that might well improve the Department.

- 1. That the number of full-time tenure-track or tenured faculty members within the Department of History be increased so that the Department is able to develop and deliver a diverse set of courses to undedgate students without an over-reliance on non-tenure/tenure track faculty, meter needs of its current and future graduate students, and consolidateletseloping strengths in research and scholarship. To justify the additional hirings, the Department must provide the Faculty of Arts and Science with a coefe plan of the positions needed to accomplish these objectives.
- 2. That the undergraduate program beroughly reviewed and analyzed by the Department in a similar fashion to whats already taken placwith the graduate program. In particular, the course number the current level of restriction of number of seminars to History majottse admission criteria for individual courses, the course cattar reflecting actual course offerings, and the employment of non-tenure/tenure track flacin the undergraduate program need to be seriously explored in a comprehensive manner.