

scheduled for May 1, 2009. Principal Williams encouraged all Senators to attend to ensure that the academy's voice was heard during the day-long discussions on the direction of the University over the next decade.

Federal Budget

Principal Williams reported on the following highlights of the Federal Budget tabled on January 22,

Naming Opportunities in honour of Robert Sutherland

Principal Williams reported that at its December meeting, the Board of Trustees asked for clarification before making a decision on the proposal to name the Policy Studies Building after Robert Sutherland. In this regard, the following Motion was passed:

“In recognition of the importance of the support and contribution of Robert Sutherland to Queen’s University, the Board of Trustees unanimously charges the Principal to present a proposal for appropriate recognition of Robert Sutherland at its next meeting”

Principal William assured Senate that his recommendation would be presented to the Board of Trustees no later than the March meeting.

5. Other Reports

a) Research Report (Appendix B, page 13)

Senator Rowe congratulated Dr. P. Jessop on being awarded the 2009 NSERC *John C. Polanyi* prize in recognition of his outstanding research on switchable materials. It was noted that this award was established three years ago and members of the Queen’s faculty have won it twice which speaks to the high quality of researchers at the University. There were no questions or comments.

b) Exit Poll 2008 – http://www.queensu.ca/registrar/exitpoll/exitpoll_2008.pdf

J-A. Brady, University Registrar, noted that this was the fifteenth year for the Exit Poll which is an in-house survey that compares Queen’s students to Queen’s students. Approximately 50% of graduating students responded to the invitation to participate in the poll. The results of the survey are used by the faculties to guide them on future direction of programming, curriculum, services etc. for their students. There were no questions or comments.

c) Inquiry@Queen’s

f) International Centre – Annual Report 2008 (Enclosed)

W. Myles, Director, International Centre noted that the Annual Report serves as a historic document and as a promotional brochure. Principal Williams asked if there was a steady increase in the number of students using the services of the International Centre. Mr. Myles responded that the International Centre assists domestic students and faculty who plan to spend time abroad and incoming international students. Most days, the flow of users is steady but occasionally the Centre

Collective Agreement), encompassed all those involved with research and provided adequate procedures for managing allegations of misconduct in scientific or scholarly activities. During its deliberations, the subcommittee reviewed a number of internal and external related documents. Additionally, they also consulted with various individuals involved with integrity and/or research and with the University's Legal Counsel. A draft document was circulated broadly for comment in July 2008.

Senator P. Welsh noted that the word "reasonably" was used in some sections of the proposed

hand. Senator Pardy asked if these breaches of the principles of nature justice had been considered.

S. Marlin responded that the subcommittee had considered the procedures for investigating allegations very carefully. When an allegation is submitted all information is provided to the respondent. Also, if the complainant and/or witnesses appear before the “Investigative Committee” a summary of those discussions are provided to the respondent. S. Marlin added that the subcommittee reviewed the Tri-Council procedures and norms within the University.

D. Kelly, Legal Counsel, explained that the proposed policy outlines a fair investigative process but did not anticipate a formal hearing because conducting such a hearing would be a violation of the Collective Agreement. Under the proposed policy, individuals are ensured complete disclosure which is also one of the pillars of the principles of natural justice. D. Kelly concluded her remarks by explaining that there is an appeals process in place if the respondent feels the process was unfair or if the decision and/or sanction were unreasonable.

b) Annual Report 2007-2008

Principal Williams drew attention to the Annual Report of the Senate Advisory Research Committee. There were no questions or comments.

3. Nominating (Appendix H, page 74)

Senator J. Welsh congratulated Senator Deakin on bringing the amendments forward.

5. **Non-Academic Discipline** (Appendix J, page 80)
 - a) **Proposed Amendments to s17 of the Senate Policy**

into consideration the expense to students incurred if they were printing all or part of the agenda on their personal printers.

There were no further questions or comments.