Council of Ontario Universities 287th Council Meeting

Held on Thursday April 2 and Friday April 3, 2009 at York University

ACADEMIC COLLEAGUE'S REPORT TO SENATE

As usual the overall meeting involved an Executive ads' Round Table, two Academic Colleagues' Meetings, and the Council Meeting itself. The maximize that were discussed at these meetings were:

1. University Operating Funding: This issue continues to be the number one priority for universities. During the late fall, financial marktetmoil decreased the value of pension plans and endowments and in so doing, severedynpounded the existing pressure on operating budgets. The 2009 Ontario Budget provided somether needed operating relief - \$150 million for the Post Secondary Education (PSE) sectorpagh the government allocated 55% of this to universities and 45% to colleges, even though the allocated the past has been 2/3 to 1/3. The

Council of Ontario Universities

Academic Colleagues' Working Paper Series

The Ontario Transfer Credit System A Situation Report

Prepared by: Dr. Philippe Constantineau Royal Military College

The universities' response to these unorthodox **didural** paths has come traditionally under two headings: Transfer Credits (TC) and Pri**e**atning Assessment and Recognition (PLAR), although, conceptually, it could be argued that both should counder a single heading, since in both cases we are dealing with prior learning assessment and recognition.

The following Working Paper offers a situation poet on the Transfer Credit System in Canada in general and in Ontario in particular. More specifically will address the situation with regard to Transfer Credits (TC) between universities, universities and colleges, and to assessment and recognition (PLAR) of professional development courses, but will not reads the situation with regard to international postsecondary credentials for university credit, iteg whis for another paper to focus on. When addressing the issue of Transfer Credits in Ontario in general terms only, by providing some historical background to explain why the situation Ommutario is somewhat different from the situation in

learning that took place at a community college or **ation** al institute, following a request by a college student to have such prior learning recognized **i** versity credit, since the credibility of the institution's programmes of study is then on the line.

2. Issues with Transfer Credits from other universities and from community colleges.

Universities across Canada belong, withouteption, to the Association of Universities and Colleges of Canada (AUCC). Like membershiphie UN, membership ithe AUCC implies mutual

It should be noted that, while university courses are fairly standardized across the country, making them relatively easy to assess with regard to level apthdæcross the disciplines, the same cannot be said about community college courses. These will vary togrefator one province to the next, and often from one community college to the next, as each college attempts to respond to the educational needs of its

the MTCU⁶. While there are a number of these agreements and Business Administration, most, as one would expect, relate to professional college diplomas that can be laddered into professional undergraduate degrees, e.g. in Nursing or Criminologipically, a college concludes such agreements with a university located in the same region avitable a mission to serve students within the same community. It is safe to say that such partners bipesviewed positively by the target audience and the public at large, and figure prominently in the exatch material issued by the college. The partnering university will accept to bear the up front costs of prior assessment of the college diploma programme and of negotiating the agreement if it can expect the strept costs. There is also, for the university, the added bonus of free and highly positive publicity with the located regional community as target audience. And surely, the college would not have sought suplaramership and chosen to bear the negotiation and implementation costs for the transfer agreement if the literative believe that it would not be recovering all of its costs through the offer of such a diploma paragrame that ladders into an undergraduate degree programme.

In summary, one might say that the Ontario **Tsfen**Credit system can be characterized as resulting from a kind otentrepreneurial response to the phenomenon of student mobility, when compared to the B.C. and Alberta Transfer Credit system **Whis**; since its inception, driven by state planning: there community college programmes **des** igned to ladder into university programmes, and individual courses have a predefined credit **valui**thin the university programmes. Ontario, this kind of design has so far only been incidental.

Apart from these two systems, there is a third, which one might call student, dhate is offered by universities that have made their hallmark witht that and distance studies. These universities, and there are of course a few of them in Ontarife cassessment services for individual courses, whether taken at a university or at a community college. In scases, the prior learning portfolio of a mature student will also include professional development courses have been given at a university level, but without being tied to a university credit. There fee for all such assessments, either a flat fee for the whole portfolio, or a fee based on the number ok the of courses to be assessed for university credit. These assessments will result in the denial or graofingedits, whether as equivalent to mandatory or optional courses in a given programme, or as unallocat

above. These are: **\$)**ate-driven where the Provincial Government sees to the college-university articulation in the design of the provincial postsecondary education system(rag)) reneurial where in most cases community colleges take the initiativep fraching a university administration, most often serving the same local community, with a view to establishing a degree-articulation agreement; and 3) student-driven where universities respond to the particulation of generally more mature students with a more varied learning path than the "normatu" dents who enter university after finishing high school and usually complete a programment of the same university.

In the wake of the Rae Report, the MTCU hassped the postsecondary institutions of Ontario to establish an integrated postsecondary education systemlike the one found in B.C. and Alberta. To this end, a joint Colleges Ontario (CO) and CounctOntario Universities (COU) task force has been put together "to develop shared principles, goals approaches that would help students make informed decisions on their postsecondary opthons his CO-COU task force was established in January 2008. So far, it has found it hard to come up with a formula, beyonds takes quo all the stakeholders could live with.

Essentially, the way ahead for Ontario's postseconidatizetions consists in adopting one of the three approaches indicated above, which are hortual ually exclusive. That being said, the steudentdriven approachrather than the tate-driven or even the entrepreneurial would be best suited to the Ontario Transfer Credit system insofar as it avoids the high costs of a multiyear project for a systematic assessment of all community college courses for university credit, which would be required by a stateordained fully integrated system. It would seem indeed to be a formidable undertaking to duplicate the kind of system B.C. and Alberta have estated and have all the available college courses systematically assessed for university credit. Insteamheifwere to seek to achieve similar results, in an environment that is different because of its history, it would appear to be wiser, and also more economical, to support the best practices of the cursitives that have been responding to student requests for prior learning assessment and recognition, to gonsi the results of the assessments - including the rejections, which will account for the majority conducted by these universities in an accessible database, and hope that it will be used more wials lyme goes by and that most, if not all, universities in Ontario will eventually contribute to it. A quickerusal of the over 850 online community college courses offered through OntarioLearn.com, the optimum of 22 community colleges of Ontario that offer complete diploma programmes online, representing a mere fraction of the programmes and courses these colleges offer on campus, will convey a sense of the magnitude of the task of assessing systematically each and every course offered by the community colleges of Ontario. Instead, the incremental addition of assessments requested bentsudes they are filed within the participating institutions, to a provincially funded database would have been been as the ontario postsecondary system and its particular situation, given its history and the wide variety of institutional cultures it displays today.

If a protocol for the assessment of community college and professional development courses were to be agreed upon and if, for instance, assessments were to be conducted by faculty members from more than one university, e.g. from threfferent universities, this would really enhance the credibility of the assessments of individual courses to be consigned in provincial database. A logical starting point would be to expand the existing Ontario College lensity Transfer Guide to include individual and block college course assessments, and eventagely ssments of other types of courses, such as professional development courses and foreign credentials. Currently, the Pan-Canadian Consortium on Admissions & Transfer, with strong representation from all parts of Canada, except Québec – presumably because of the language barrier – is working to virages to facilitate the implementation of policies and