Cyclical Program Review of the Academic Programs in the Department of English Language and Literature One Year Progress Report on Implementation Plan

February 2015				ONE YEAR FOLLOW UP
Recommendation	Proposed Follow-up	Responsibility for Leading Follow-up	Timeline for Addressing Recommendati on	Please indicate whether the implementation is on target and on time, and provide a brief description.
1. The Department should work with the School of Graduate Studies to address concerns raised in the Review Team Report that graduate students are leaving the program during dissertation research/writing and reapplying just in time to defend.	Initiate meeting with relevant Associate Dean (SGS), Department Head and Graduate Coordinator	Dean of School of Graduate Studies in consultation with the Department Head	Dean of School of Graduate Studies' <i>Annual</i> <i>Report</i> to the Provost 2015	On target and on time; This issue was addressed by Leslie Ritchie as Grad Co-ordinator, who conferred with Sandra den Otter. The department instituted yearly progress reports (for the past 3 years) to be completed by both students and their adv37.9 20s4 reW*n11(n t)-

	upcoming retirements		Assistant Professor in Victorian Literature and Culture to ensure adequate teaching
			and supervisory capacity in an area that h been in strong demand among our studen To supplement the gap emerging in Modernism following the retirement of Edward Lobb, we have short-listed candidates with strong secondary interests in Modernism. However, with the departure (since the CPR) of 2 faculty members (one in post-colonial and one in contemporary American Literature) the department remains understaffed in some key areas.
3. To address the concern around the pre- dissertation course requirements at the graduate level, the Department of English should review its graduate offerings with the goal of removing unnecessary time-to-completion delays.	Initiate meeting with relevant Associate Dean (SGS), Department Head and Graduate Coordinator	Associate Dean	

chaired by a trusted,	indicators of	and an	Undergrad DSC co-chairs. Surveys
neutral person from either	achievement	Educational	regarding the function of the revised
inside or outside the		Developer from	curriculum and its sustainability have been
department.		the Centre for	circulated and the Committee will report its
		Teaching and	findings in March 2015. Robert May, a
		Learning	Continuing Adjunct who was not
			implicated in the changes to the curriculum
			has agreed to act as Undergraduate Chair
			for the next two years to implement any
			recommendations arising from the review,
			and to undertake the recommended
			curriculum mapping in conjunction with
			the CTL. I should point out that although
			the Department is " on time" with this
			review, it has been complicated by the need
			to reconsider the curriculum in the light of
			the New Budget Model as well.

Additional Notes: